arXiv:1604.04242v2 [stat.ME] 17 Aug 2016

DIVERGENCES MEASURES

Amadou Diadie Ba[(1)], Gane Samb Lo[(1,2)].
[(1)] *LERSTAD, Université Gaston Berger, Sénégal.*
[(2)] *LSA, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France.*

1. Introduction

In this paper, we deal with divergence measures estimation using both wavelet and classical probability density functions. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a class of two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$, a divergence measure on $\mathcal{P}$ is an application,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{D}: & \mathcal{P}^2 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{R}} \\ & (\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L}) & \longmapsto & \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L}) \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

such that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Q})=0$ for any $\mathbb{Q}\in\mathcal{P}$.
A divergence measure then is not necessarily symmetrical and it does neither have to be a metric. To better explain our concern, let us intoduce some of the most celebrated divergence measures. Most of them are based on probability density functions. So let us suppose that all $\mathbb{Q}\in\mathcal{P}$ have *p.d.f.* $f_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with respect to a σ-finite measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ that is usually the Lebesgues measure. We have the L_2^2-divergence measure

$$\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)-f_{\mathbb{L}}(x))^2d\nu(x);$$

the family of Renyi divergence measures indexed by $\alpha\neq 1$, more known under the name of Renyi-α,

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L})=\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha}(x)f_{\mathbb{L}}^{1-\alpha}(x)d\nu(x)\right); \tag{1.2}$$

the family of Tsallis divergence measures indexed by $\alpha\neq 1$, also known under the name of Tsallis-α

$$\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L})=\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\alpha}(x)f_{\mathbb{L}}^{1-\alpha}(x)-1\right)d\nu(x); \tag{1.3}$$

and finally the Kulback-Leibler divergence measure

$$\mathcal{D}_{KL}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\ \log(f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)/f_{\mathbb{L}}(x))\ d\nu(x). \tag{1.4}$$

The latter, the Kullback-Leibler measure, may be interpreted as a limit case of both the Renyi's family and the Tsallis' one by letting $\alpha\to 1$. As well, for α near 1, the Tsallis family may be seen as derived from a fisrt order expansion $\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{L})$ based on the first order expansion of the logarithm function in the neigborhood of the unity.

Although we are focusing on the aforementioned divergence measures, we have to attract the attention of the reader that there exist quite a few number of them. Let us cite for example the ones denamed as : Ali-Silvey distance or f-divergence [[1]], Cauchy-Schwarz, Jeffrey's divergence (see [2]), Chernoff, Jensen-Shannon divergence etc. According to [3], there is more than a dozen of different divergence measures that one can find in the literature.

Before coming back to our divergence measures of interest, we want to highlight some important applications of them. Indeed, divergence has proven to be useful in applications. Let us cite a few of them

(a) It may be as a similarity measure in image registration or multimedia classification (see [4]). It is also applicable as a loss function in evaluating and optimizing the performance of density estimation methods (see [6]).
(b) The estimation of divergence between the samples drawn from unknown distributions gauges the distance between those distributions. Divergence estimates can then be used in clustering and in particular for deciding whether the samples come from the same distribution by comparing the estimate to a threshold.
(c) Divergence estimates can also be used to determine sample sizes required to achieve given performance levels in hypothesis testing.
(d) Divergence gauges how differently two random variables are distributed and it provides a useful measure of discrepancy between distributions. In the frame of information theory , the key role of divergence is well known.
(e) There has been a growing interest in applying divergence to various fields of science and engineering for the purpose of estimation, classification, etc [[7], [9]].
(f) Divergence also plays a central role in the frame of large deviations results including the asymptotic rate of decrease of error probability in binary hypothesis testing problems.

The reader may find more applications descriptions in the following papers: [10],[11], [12], [14], [15], [16], [17],[18]

We may see two kinds of problems we encounter when dealing with these objects. First, the divergence measures may not be finite on the whole support of the distributions.

These two remarks apply to too many divergence measures. Both these problems are avoided with some boundedness assumption as in Singh et al. [25] and in Krishnamurthy et al. [23]. In the case where all $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$ have *p.d.f.* $f_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with respect to a σ-finite measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, these authors suppose that there exist two finite numbers $0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < +\infty$ such that

$$\kappa_1 \leq f_{\mathbb{Q}}, f_{\mathbb{L}} \leq \kappa_2. \tag{1.5}$$

so that the quantities $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y, \alpha)$, for example, are finite in the expressions of Renyi-α and Tsallis-α measures and that the Kullback-Leibler is also finite. We will follow these authors by adopting the **Assumption** 4 throughout this paper.

1.1. **Divergence measures as goodness-of-fit tests.** The divergence measures may be applied to two statistical problems among others.

First, it may be used as a goodness-of-fit problem like that : let $X_1, X_2, ...$ a sample from X with an unkown probability distribution $\mathbb{P}_X$ and we want to test the hypothesis that $\mathbb{P}_X$ is equal to a known and fixed probability $\mathbb{P}_0$.

For example Jager et al. (in [5]) proposed $\mathbb{P}_0$ to be the uniform probability distribution on $[0, 1]$.

Theoritically, if we want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : F = F_0$ versus $H_1 : F \neq F_0$ we have to use any of general phi-divergence test statistic $\phi(f_n(x), f_0(x))$. Then our test statistic is of the form

$$\mathcal{D}(f_n, f_0) = \int \phi(f_n(x), f_0(x))dx$$

Then we can answer this question by estimating a divergence measure $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_0)$ by the plug-in estimator $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)}, \mathbb{P}_0)$ based on the sequences of empirical probabilities

$$\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{X_i}.$$

From there establishing an asymptotic theory of $\Delta_n = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)}, \mathbb{P}_0) - \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_0)$ is necessary to conclude.

1.2. **Divergence measures as a comparison tool problem.** As a comparison tool for two distributions, we may have two samples and wonder whether they come from the same probability measure. Here, we also may two different cases. In the first, we have two independent samples $X_1, X_2, ...$ and $Y_1, Y_2, ...$ respectively from a random variable X and Y. Here the empirical divergence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)}, \mathbb{P}_Y^{(n)})$ is the natural estimator of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)$ on which depends the statistical test of $\mathbb{P}_X = \mathbb{P}_Y$.

But the data may aslo be paired (X, Y), $(X_1, Y_2), (X_2, Y_2), ...$, that is X_i and Y_i are measurements of the same case $i = 1, 2, ...$ In that case, testing the equality of the margins $\mathbb{P}_X = \mathbb{P}_Y$ should be based on the empirical probabilities from the couple (X, Y), that is

$$\mathbb{P}_{(X,Y)}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{(X_i,Y_i)}.$$

1.3. **Related work :** Krisnamurthy et al. ([23]), Singh and Poczos ([25]) studied mainly the independent case of the two distributions comparaison. They both used divergence measures based on probability density functions and concentrated of Renyi-α, Tsallis-α and Kullback-Leibler. (???). **Reyni :**
- Singh and Poczos [25] proposed divergence estimators that achieve the parametric convergence of rate $n^{-N(s)}$ where $0 < N(s) \leq 1/2$ depends on the smoothness s of the densities f and g both in a Holder class of smothness s

They showed that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)}, \mathbb{P}_Y^{(n)}) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right] = O\left(\epsilon_n\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X^{(n)}, \mathbb{P}_Y^{(n)}) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right] = O\left(\epsilon_n\right)$$

where $\epsilon_n = \Omega(n^{-\gamma})$ and $\gamma = \min\{\frac{4s}{4s+d}, 1/2\}$.

Singh and Poczos [25] , and Krishnamurthy et al [23] each proposed divergence estimators that achieve the parametric convergence rate $\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right)$ under weaker conditions than those given in [39].

Krishnamurthy et al [23] proposed three estimators for the divergence measures $\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)$, $\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)$, and for $\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)$: the plugging (pl), linear (lin), and the quadratic (qd) one. They showed that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}^{pl}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\frac{s}{2s+d}}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}^{lin}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right) &\leq c\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + n^{-\frac{2s}{2s+d}}\right) \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}^{qd}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right) &\leq c\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + n^{-\frac{3s}{2s+d}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with the quadratic estimator

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}^{qd}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right) &\leq c\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + n^{-\frac{3s}{2s+d}}\right) \\
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}^{qd}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right) &\leq c\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + n^{-\frac{3s}{2s+d}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Poczos and Jeff ([26]) considered two samples not necessarily with the same size and used the $k-$Nearest Neighbour (kNN) based density estimators. They showed that, if $|\alpha - 1| < k$, then Reyni estimator est asymptotically unbiaised that is

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(m)}_Y)\right) = \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)$$

and it is consistent for L_2 norm that is

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(m)}_Y) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_Y)\right)^2 = 0.$$

All this is under conditions on the densities $f_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $f_{\mathbb{L}}$.
In Liu et al. [27] and worked with densities in Holder classes, whereas our work applies for densities in the Bessov class

In any case, the asymptotic distributions of the estimators in [[30], [25],[23]] are currently unknown.

==========

But, in our view this case should rely on the available data so that using the same sample size may lead to a reduction (?). To apply their method, one should take the minimum of the two sizes and then loose information. We suggest to come back to a general case and then study the asymptotics of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}^{(m)}_Y)$ based on samples $X_1, X_2, .., X_n.$ and $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m$.

As for the fitting approach, we may cite Hamza et al. ([28]) who used modern techniques of Mason and co-authors ([31] ,[32] ,[33]) on consistency bounds for p.d.f's kernel estimators. But, these authors, hamza and al., in the current version of their work, did not address the existence problem of the divergence measures. We will seize the opportunity of these papers to correct this.

Also, for the fitting case, and when using Renyi-α, Tsallis-α and Kulback-Leibler measures, we do not have symmetry. So we have to deal with the estimation of both $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_X, \mathbb{P}_0)$ by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X, \mathbb{P}_0)$ and that of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_0, \mathbb{P}_X)$ by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}_0, \mathbb{P}^{(n)}_X)$ and decided which of these cases is better.

As to the paired case, we are not aware of works on this. Yet, this approach is very important and should be addressed.

This paper will be devoted to a general study the estimation the Renyi-α, Tsallis-α, Kulback-Leibler, and L_2 measures in the three level : fitting, independent comparison and paired comparison.

We will use empirical estimations of the density functions both by the Parzen estimator and the wavelet ones. The main novelty here resides in the wavelet approach. When using the Parzen statistics, our main tool will be modern techniques of Mason and co-authors ([31] ,[32] ,[33]) on consistency bounds for p.d.f's kernel. For the wavelet approch, we will mainly back on the Giné and Nickl paper ([43]).

Since the tools we are using do not have the level of developpement, our results for the Parzen scheme will use k-dimensional distributions, while those pertaining to the wavelet frame are set for univariate distributions.

But, we will have to give a precise account of wavelet theory and its applications to statistical estimation, using Hardle et al.([37]), ...

The paper will be organized as follows. In section 3, we will describe how to use the density estimations both for Parzen and wavelets as well as the statements of the main hypothesis. As for wavelets, a broader account will be given in Appendix .. In Section 3, we deal with the fitting questions. Section 4 is devoted to independent distribution comparison. Finally in Section 5, we deal with margins distribution comparison. In all Sections 3, 4 and 5, we will establish strong efficiency and central limit theorems.

Under standards assumptions on the densities $f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x), f_{\mathbb{L}}(x)$, on the scale function φ and on the wavelet kernel K (formalized in the sequel) we establish the following properties.

a) We define the linear wavelet density estimators and establish the consistency of these density estimators
b) We establish the asymptotic consistency showing that . . .(Theorem **??**)
b) When .... we prove that the estimator is asymptotically normal (Theorem **??**).
c) We derive . . .
d) We also prove
e) Lastly, we prove

Organization of the paper (plan)

2. RESULTS

We are going to establish general results both for consistency and asymptotic normality. Next results for particular divergences measures will follow as corollaries.

2.1. **General conditions.** Let $J(f,g)$ be a functional of two densities functions f and g satisfying **Assumption** 4 below of the form

$$J(f,g)=\int_D \phi(f(x),g(x))dx$$

where $\phi(s,t)$ is a function of $(s,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ of class C^2. We adopt the following notations with respect to the partial derivatives :

$$\phi_1^{(1)}(s,t)=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}(s,t),\ \phi_2^{(1)}(s,t)=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(s,t)$$

and

$$\phi_1^{(2)}(s,t)=\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial s^2}(s,t),\ \phi_2^{(2)}(s,t)=\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial t^2}(s,t),\ \phi_{1,2}^{(2)}(s,t)=\phi_{2,1}^{(2)}(s,t)=\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial s\partial t}(s,t).$$

We require the following general conditions

C1-ϕ : The following integrals are finite

$$\int\left\{|\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))|+|\phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))|\right\}dx<+\infty.$$

C2-ϕ : For any measurable sequences of functions $\delta_n^{(1)}(x)$, $\delta_n^{(2)}(x)$, $\rho_n^{(1)}(x)$, and $\rho_n^{(2)}(x)$ of $x\in D$, uniformly converging to zero, that is

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ j=1,2}\sup\left\{\left|\delta_n^{(i)}(x)\right|+\left|\rho_n^{(j)}(x)\right|\right\}<+\infty,$$

then

$$\int\phi_1^{(2)}\left(f(x)+\delta_n^{(1)}(x),g(x)\right)dx\to\int\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x),g(x))dx, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\int\phi_2^{(2)}\left(f(x),g(x)+\delta_n^{(2)}(x)\right)dx\to\int\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x),g(x))dx \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\int\phi_{1,2}^{(2)}\left(f(x)+\rho_n^{(1)}(x),g(x)+\rho_n^{(2)}(x)\right)dx\to\int\phi_{1,2}^{(2)}(f(x),g(x))dx. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 1. *These results may result from the Dominated Convergence Theorem or the monotone Convergence Theorem or from other limit theorems. We may either express conditions under which these results hold true on the general function ϕ. But we choose here to state the final results and next, to check them for particular cases, on which reside our real interests.*

Our general results concern the estimations of $J(f,g)$ in a one sample (see Theorem 2) and two samples problems (see Theorem 3). In both case, we use the linear wavelet estimators of f and g, denoted f_n and g_n, and defined in [37]. From there we mainly use results for Giné and Nickl [43].

Under their conditions, we define

$$a_n=\|f_n-f\|_\infty,\ b_n=\|g_n-g\|_\infty,\ c_n=a_n\vee b_n, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\|h\|_\infty$stands for $\sup_{x\in D}|h(x)|$.

2.2. **Wavelet setting.** The wavelet setting involves two functions φ and ψ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\left\{2^{j/2}\varphi(2^j(.)-k), 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j(.)-k), (j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\right\}$$

be a orthonormal basis of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. The associated kernel function of the wavelets φ and ψ is defined by

$$K_j(x,y) = 2^j K(2^j x, 2^j y),\ j\in\mathbb{N}$$

where $K(x,y)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\varphi(x-k)(y-k)$, $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$.

For a mesurable function we define $K_j(h)(x)=\int K_j(x,y)h(y)dy$.

Assuming the following :

Assumption 1. *(S). φ and ψ are bounded and have compact support and either (i) the father wavelet φ has weak derivatives up to order S in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ or (ii) ψ has S vanishing moments, i.e $\int x^m\psi(x)dx=0$ for all $m=0,\dots,S-1$.*

Assumption 2. *φ is of bounded p-variation for some $1\le p<\infty$ and vanishes on $(B_1,B_2]^c$ for some $-\infty<B_1<B_2<\infty$.*

Assumption 3. *The resolution level $j:=j_n$ is such that $2^{j_n}\approx n^{1/4}$.*

With this assumption, one has $j_n\nearrow\infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\frac{j_n 2^{j_n}}{n}}+2^{-t/j_n} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\log 2}\frac{\log n}{n^{3/4}}}+n^{-t/4}\to 0 \ \text{ as } n\to\infty,\ \ \forall t>0 \\ \frac{j_n}{\log\log n} &\to \infty \text{ as } n\to\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n\ge n_0}(j_{2n}-j_n)\le\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

These conditions allow the use of results of Giné [43].

Definition 1. *Given two independent samples with size n $X_1,\dots X_n\sim f$ and $Y_1,\dots Y_n\sim g$ respectively from a random variable X and Y and absolute continuous law $\mathbb{P}_X$ and $\mathbb{P}_Y$ on $\mathbb{R}$, straighforward wavelets estimators of f and g are defined by*

$$f_n(x)=\mathbb{P}_{n,X}(K_{j_n}(x,.))=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n K_{j_n}(x,X_i) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$g_n(x)=\mathbb{P}_{n,Y}(K_{j_n}(x,.))=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n K_{j_n}(x,Y_i) \tag{2.7}$$

In the sequel we suppose the densities f and g belong to the Besov space $\mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ (see [37]),

$$\left\{h\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \|h\|_{s,\infty,\infty}:=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|\alpha_k(h)|+\sup_{l\ge 0}\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left|2^{l(t+1/2)}\beta_{lk}(h)\right|<\infty\right\}$$

where $\alpha_k(h)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}h(x)\ \varphi(x-k)dx$ and $\beta_{lk}(h)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}2^{l/2}h(x)\ \psi(2^l x-k)dx$ are the wavelet coefficients of the function h.

The spaces $\mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ are the Holder-Zygmund spaces, which contain the classical Holder-Lipschitz spaces.

Given these definitions, we now describe how we will use the wavelet approach.

It is remarquable from Theorem 3 (in [43]) that, if the densities f and g belong to $\mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, φ satisfies **Assumption**2, and φ, ψ satisfy **Assumption**1 (T) then a_n, b_n and c_n are all of them

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\log 2}\frac{\log n}{n^{3/4}}} + n^{-t/4}\right)$$

almost surely and converge all to zero at this rate (with $0 < t < T$).

In order to establish the asymptotic normality of the divergences estimators, we need to recall some facts about kernels wavelets.

For $h \in \mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the Theorem 1 below provides the asymptotic normality of

$$\sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x) - f(x))h(x)dx$$

necessary for setting the asymptotic normality of divergence measure, provided the finitness of $\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2$.

Theorem 1. *Under **Assumption** 1 and 2 and if $h \in \mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then we have*

$$\sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x) - f(x))h(x)dx \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_h^2) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where

$$\sigma_h^2 = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2 \tag{2.8}$$

The symbol $\rightsquigarrow$ denotes the convergence in law.
$\mathbb{P}_X(h) = \int h(x)f(x)dx$ denotes the expection of the measurable function h.
The proof of this theorem is postpooned to Subsection 4.

2.3. **Main results.** In the sequel $J(f,g)$ is a functional of two densities functions f and g satisfying **Assumption** 4 and defined by

$$J(f,g) = \int_D \phi(f(x), g(x))dx$$

where $\phi(s,t)$ is a function of $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of class C^2.

Define the functions h_1 and h_2 by

$$h_1(x) = \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x)) \text{ and } h_2(x) = \phi_2^{(1)}(f(x), g(x))$$

and the constants A_1 and A_2 by

$$A_1 = \int |h_1(x)|\, dx \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \int |h_2(x)|\, dx$$

Suppose that A_1 and A_2 are both finites.

2.3.1. *One side estimation.* Suppose that either we have a sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with unknown p.d.f f and a known p.d.f g and we want to study the limit behavior of $J(f_n, g)$ or we have a sample $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ with unknown p.d.f g and a known p.d.f. f and we want to study the limit behavior of $J(f, g_n)$.

f_n or g_n are as in (2.6) or in (2.7).

Theorem 2. *Under **Assumption** 1 and 2, we have :*

- *Consistency :*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{|J(f_n, g) - J(f, g)|}{a_n} \leq A_1, a.s \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{|J(f, g_n) - J(f, g)|}{b_n} \leq A_2, a.s \tag{2.10}$$

where a_n and b_n are as in (2.4).

- *Asymptotic normality :*

$$\sqrt{n}(J(f_n, g) - J(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_1^2\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(J(f, g_n) - J(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_2^2\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\sigma_1^2 = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2 \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\sigma_2^2 = \mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)\right)^2. \tag{2.14}$$

2.3.2. *Two sides estimation.* Suppose that we have two samples $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ with respectively unknown p.d.f f and g and we want to study the limit behavior of $J(f_n, g_n)$.

Theorem 3. *Under **Assumption** 1 and 2, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g)}{c_n} \right| \leq A_1 + A_2 \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_3^2\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{2.16}$$

where $\sigma_3^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$.
σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 are as in 2.13 and 2.14.

The proofs are given in Section 4.

Right now, we are going to apply these results to particular divergence measures estimations. We will have to check the conditions (2.1),(2.2), and (2.3).

2.4. **Particular cases.** Results for Tsallis-α, Renyi-α, Kullback-Leibler and L_2 divergences measures will follow as corollaries since they are particular cases of $J(f,g)$. To ensure the general conditions $C1-\phi$ and $C2-\phi$ we begin by giving the main assumption on the densities f and g.

Assumption 4. *There exists a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing the supports of the densities f and g and such that*

$$\exists(\kappa_1,\kappa_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \textit{such that } , 0 < \kappa_1 \leq f(x), g(x) \leq \kappa_2 < \infty.$$

Throughout this subsection, we will use the Assumption 4. The integrales are on K and the constantes are integrables. We use the Dominate convergence theorem based on this remark. Meaning that with **Assumption** 4, then the **conditions** (2.1),(2.2), and (2.3) are satisfied.

In the following the divergence measures, the functions h_1 and h_2 should be updated in each cases, in the same way that A_1, A_2, σ_1^2, and σ_2^2 since they depend on the bessov functions f and g in $\mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and on the randoms variables X and Y.

2.4.1. *Case 1 : Hellinger integral of order α.* We start by the Hellinger integral of order α defined by

$$I(f,g) = \int_K f^\alpha(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x) dx$$

Here $\phi(s,t) = s^\alpha t^{1-\alpha}$and one has

$$\phi_1^{(1)}(s,t) = \alpha s^{\alpha-1} t^{1-\alpha},\ \phi_2^{(1)}(s,t) = (1-\alpha) s^\alpha t^{-\alpha}$$

and

$$\phi_1^{(2)}(s,t) = \alpha(\alpha-1) s^{\alpha-2} t^{1-\alpha},\ \phi_2^{(2)}(s,t) = -\alpha(1-\alpha) s^\alpha t^{-\alpha-1},\ \phi_{1,2}^{(2)}(s,t) = \phi_{2,1}^{(2)}(s,t) = \alpha(1-\alpha) s^{\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha}.$$

Now let $h_1(x) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x) g^{1-\alpha}(x)$ and $h_2(x) = (1-\alpha) f^\alpha(x) g^{-\alpha}(x)$
$A_1 = \int h_1(x) dx < \infty$ and $A_2 = \int |h_2(x)|\, dx < \infty$.

Corollary 1. *(One sample estimation). We have*

- *Consistency*

$$\limsup_{n \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{|I(f_n,g) - I(f,g)|}{a_n} \leq A_1,\ \ a.s$$

$$\limsup_{n \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{|I(f,g_n) - I(f,g)|}{b_n} \leq A_2,\ \ a.s$$

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(I(f_n,g) - I(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{I,1}^2)\ \textit{as}\ n \to \infty$$

$$\sqrt{n}(I(f,g_n) - I(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{I,2}^2)\ \textit{as}\ n \to \infty$$

where

$$\sigma_{I,1}^2 = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2$$

and

$$\sigma_{I,2}^2 = \mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)\right)^2.$$

whith $h_1(x) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)$ *and* $h_2(x) = (1-\alpha)f^{\alpha}(x)g^{-\alpha}(x)$.

Corollary 2. *(Two side estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*
$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{|I(f_n,g_n) - I(f,g)|}{c_n} \leq A_1 + A_2, \ \ a.s$$
- *Asymptotic normality*
$$\sqrt{n}(I(f_n,g_n) - I(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_3^2) \ as \ n \to \infty$$
where $\sigma_3^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2$.

In the following, handling I the Hellinger integral of order α, conditions (2.1),(2.2), and (2.3) are satisfied from **Assumption** 4

2.4.2. *Case 2 : Tsallis Divergence measure.*

$$\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(I(f,g) - 1\right)$$

Corollary 3. *(One side estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*
$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f_n,g) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)|}{a_n} \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha-1|}A_1, \ \ a.s$$
$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)|}{b_n} \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha-1|}A_2, \ \ a.s$$
- *Asymptotic normality*
$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f_n,g) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma_{T,1}^2\right) \ as \ n \to \infty$$
$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma_{T,2}^2\right) \ as \ n \to \infty$$
where
$$\sigma_{T,1}^2 = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2\right)$$
and
$$\sigma_{T,2}^2 = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)\right)^2\right).$$
whith $h_1(x) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)$ *and* $h_2 = (1-\alpha)f^{\alpha}(x)g^{-\alpha}(x)$.

Corollary 4. *(Two sides estimation)*

Under conditions of theorem , we have

- *Consistency*
$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f_n,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)|}{c_n} \leq A_1 + A_2, \ \ a.s$$
- *Asymptotic normality*
$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f_n,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma_T^2\right)$$
where $\sigma_T^2 = \sigma_{T,1}^2 + \sigma_{T,2}^2$.

2.4.3. *Case 3 : Reyni Divergence measure.*

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\log I(f,g)$$

Corollary 5. *(One side estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g) = O_{a.s}(a_n) \tag{2.17}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g) = O_{a.s}(b_n) \tag{2.18}$$

where a_n and b_n are as in (2.4).

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{R,1}\right) \text{ as } n\to\infty \tag{2.19}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{R,2}\right) \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty \tag{2.20}$$

where $\sigma^2_{R,1} = \frac{\sigma^2_{I,1}}{(\alpha-1)^2 I(f,g)}$ and $\sigma^2_{R,2} = \frac{\sigma^2_{I,2}}{(\alpha-1)^2 I(f,g)}$.

.

Corollary 6. *(Two sides estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g) = O_{a.s}(c_n) \tag{2.21}$$

where c_n is as in (2.4)

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{R}\right) \text{ as } n\to\infty \tag{2.22}$$

where $\sigma^2_R = \sigma^2_{R,1} + \sigma^2_{R,2}$.

The proofs of Corollaries 5 and 6 are postponed to Section4.

2.4.4. *Case 4 : Kulback-Leib Divergence measure.*

$$\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g) = \int_K f(x)\log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}dx$$

In this case $\phi(s,t) = s\log\frac{s}{t}$ and one has

$$\phi_1^{(1)}(s,t) = 1+\log\frac{s}{t},\ \phi_2^{(1)}(s,t) = -\frac{s}{t}$$

and

$$\phi_1^{(2)}(s,t) = \frac{1}{s},\ \phi_2^{(2)}(s,t) = \frac{s}{t^2},\ \phi_{1,2}^{(2)}(s,t) = \phi_{2,1}^{(2)}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{t}.$$

Thus $h_1(x) = 1+\log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$, $h_2(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$, $A_1 = \int_K \left(1+\log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)dx < \infty$, and $A_2 = \int_K \left|\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right| dx < \infty$.

With the **Assumption** 4, the **conditions** (2.1),(2.2), and (2.3) are satisfied for any measurables sequences of functions $\delta_n^{(1)}(x)$, $\delta_n^{(2)}(x)$, $\rho_n^{(1)}(x)$, and $\rho_n^{(2)}(x)$ of $x\in D$, uniformly converging to zero.

Corollary 7. *(One side estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g)|}{a_n}\leq A_1,\ \ a.s$$

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g)|}{b_n}\leq A_2,\ \ a.s$$

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g))\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{K,1}\right)\ \ as\ n\to\infty$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g))\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{K,2}\right)\ \ as\ n\to\infty$$

where

$$\sigma^2_{K,1}=\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2-\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2\right)$$

and

$$\sigma^2_{K,2}=\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)^2-\left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)\right)^2\right).$$

with $h_1(x)=1+\log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ *and* $h_2(x)=\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$

Corollary 8. *(Two sides estimation) We have*

- *Consistency*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f_n,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g)|}{c_n}\leq A_1+A_2,a.s$$

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f_n,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f,g))\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_K\right)\ \ as\ n\to\infty$$

where $\sigma^2_K=\sigma^2_{K,1}+\sigma^2_{K,2}$

2.4.5. *Case 5 : L_2 Divergence measure.*

$$\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f,g)=\int_K\left(f(x)-g(x)\right)^2dx$$

Here $\phi(s,t)=|f(x)-g(x)|^2$, but we proceed by a different route.

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f,g) &= \int_K\left((f_n(x)-g(x))^2-(f(x)-g(x))^2\right)dx\\ &= \int_K(f_n(x)-f(x))\left(f_n(x)+f(x)-2g(x)\right)dx\\ &= 2\int_K(f_n(x)-f(x))\left(f(x)-g(x)\right)dx+\int_K(f_n(x)-f(x))^2dx\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f,g)=-2\int_K(g_n(x)-g(x))\left(f(x)-g(x)\right)dx+\int_K(g_n(x)-g(x))^2dx$$

Let $h_{_1}(x) = 2(f(x) - g(x))$ and $h_2 = -h_1$. Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f, g)\right) &= \sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x) - f(x))h_1(x)dx + o_P(1)\\ \sqrt{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f, g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f, g)\right) &= \sqrt{n}\int (g_n(x) - g(x))h_2(x)dx + o_P(1)\end{aligned}$$

Let $A_1 = A_2 = 2\int_K |f(x) - g(x)|\, dx$. Then we give

Theorem 4. *(One side estimation)*

- *Consistency*

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f, g)\right|}{a_n} \leq A_1,\ \ a.s$$

$$\limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{L_2}(f, g)\right|}{b_n} \leq A_2,\ \ a.s$$

- *Asymptotic normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{L_2,1}\right)\ \ as\ n \to \infty$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{L_2,2}\right)\ \ as\ n \to \infty$$

where

$$\sigma^2_{L_2,1} = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2$$

and

$$\sigma^2_{L_2,2} = \mathbb{P}_Y(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_Y(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y))^2$$

with $h_1(x) = 2(f(x) - g(x))$ *and* $h_2(x) = 2(g(x) - f(x))$.

Theorem 5. *(Two sides estimation)*

- *Consistency*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f_n, g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g))}{c_n} \leq A_1 + A_2\ \ a.s$$

- *Normality*

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f_n, g_n) - \mathcal{D}_{_{L_2}}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{L_2}\right)\ \ a.s\ n \to \infty$$

where $\sigma^2_{L_2} = \sigma^2_{L_2,1} + \sigma^2_{L_2,2}$

3. Applications

3.1. **Statistics tests.** The divergence measures may be applied to two statistical problems among others. First, it may be used as a goodness-of-fit problem like that : let $X_1, X_2, ...$ a sample from X with an unkown probability density function f and we want to test the hypothesis that f is equal to a known and fixed probability density function g . We want to test

$$H_0 : f = g \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : f \neq g,$$

both unctions f and g in Besov space $B^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

For a fixed $x \in D$, we can test the (pointwise) null hypothesis

$$H_0 : f(x) = g(x) \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : f(x) \neq g(x) \tag{3.1}$$

using particular divergences measure like $\alpha-$Tsallis, $\alpha-$Renyi, KB, or L_2 divergences.

Then our proposed test statistics are of the form

$$\mathcal{D}^{\phi}(f_n, g) = \int \phi(f_n(x), g(x))dx$$

As particular cases we consider

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(s,t) &= s^{\alpha}t^{1-\alpha}\\ \phi_2(s,t) &= s\log\frac{s}{t}\\ \phi_3(s,t) &= |s-t|^2\end{aligned}$$

3.1.1. *Limit distribution under null hypothesi H_0.* In testing the null hypothesis, we propose tests statistics using Tsallis, Renyi, Kulback and L_2 divergence measures. Suppose that the null hypothesis H_0 holds so that g is a known p.d.f.

Then it follows, from the previous work that

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{T,1}\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\sigma^2_{T,1} = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_T)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_T)(X)))^2\right)$$

with $h_T(x) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)$ and $K_{j_n}(h_T)(X) = \int K_{j_n}(x,t)h_1(t)f(t)dt$

Renya divergence measure

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{R,1}\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where $\sigma^2_{R,1} = \frac{\sigma^2_{I,1}}{(\alpha-1)^2 I(f,g)}$.

$$\sigma^2_{I,1} = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2$$

whith $h_R(x) = \alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)$

Kulback-Leib :

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathcal{D}_{KL}(f_n, g) - \mathcal{D}_{KL}(f, g)) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2_{K,1}\right) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where

$$\sigma^2_{K,1} = \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^2}\left(\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_K)(X))^2 - \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_K)(X)))^2\right)$$

where $h_K(x) = 1 + \log\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$

3.2. **Confidence bands.** We want to obtain

4. PROOFS

The rest of this section, proceeds as follows. In Subsection4.1 we establish the proof of the Theorem 1. Subsection4.2 is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 2. In Subsection 4.3 we present the proof of the theorem 3 . The Subsection 4.4 is devoted to proofs of the corollaries 5 and 6

4.1. **Proof of the Theorem 1.**

Proof. Suppose assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied and $h \in \mathcal{B}^t_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

We start by showing first that $\sqrt{n}\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h(x)dx$ is a sum of an empirical process based on the sample X_i and applied on the function $K_{j_n}(h)$ and a random variable.
We have, by definition $K_{j_n}(h)(.) = \int K_{j_n}(x,(.))h(x)dx$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h(x)dx &= \int\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n K_{j_n}(x,X_i)h(x)-f(x)h(x)\right)dx\\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\int K_{j_n}(x,X_i)h(x)dx-\int f(x)h(x)dx\\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n K_{j_n}(h)(X_i)-\int f(x)h(x)dx\\ &= \mathbb{P}_{n,X}(K_{j_n}(h))-\mathbb{P}_X h(X) = (\mathbb{P}_{n,X}-\mathbb{P}_X)(K_{j_n}(h))+\mathbb{P}_X((K_{j_n}(h))(X)-h(X)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{n}\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h(x)dx = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_{n,X}-\mathbb{P}_X)(K_{j_n}(h))+\sqrt{n}R_{1,n}$$

where $\sqrt{n}R_{1,n} = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_X((K_{j_n}(h))(X)-h(X))$.

One has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2 &= \int(K_{j_n}(h)(x))^2 f(x)dx\\ &= \int\left(\int K_{j_n}(x,t)h(t)dt\right)^2 f(x)dx\\ &= 2^{2j_n}\int\left(\int K(2^{j_n}x,2^{j_n}t)h(t)dt\right)^2 f(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Boundedness and support compactness of φ and ψ give $K(2^{j_n}x,2^{j_n}t) = \sum_k \varphi(2^{j_n}x-k)\psi(2^{j_n}t-k) \le C_1$.

Now $\left(\int K(2^{j_n}x,2^{j_n}t)h(t)dt\right)^2 \le C_1^2 C_2$ since φ vanishes on $(B_1,B_2]^c$ and h is bounded. Finally

$$\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2 \le \kappa_2 2^{2j_n}C_1^2C_2 \text{ with } C_1,C_2>0.$$

Now the usual C.L.T gives

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_{n,X}-\mathbb{P}_X)(K_{j_n}(h)) \rightsquigarrow N(0,\sigma_h^2) \text{ as } n\to\infty \tag{4.1}$$

where $\sigma_h^2 = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)(X))^2$.

Then the theorem will be proved if we show that $\sqrt{n}R_{1,n} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and it is in this step that we use the fact that $h \in \mathcal{B}^s_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

From Theorem 9.3 in [37] one has

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h)-h)| &\le \int|K_{j_n}(h)(x)-h(x)|\,f(x)dx\\ &\le C_3\,\|K_{j_n}(h)-h\|_\infty\,\|f\|_\infty\\ &\le \kappa_2 C_3 2^{-j_n t}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{n}R_{1,n}(h) \leq \kappa_2 C_3 \sqrt{n} 2^{-j_n t} = \kappa_2 C_3 n^{(1-2t)/8} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1),$$

for any $1/2 < t < T$.

Note the moment condition in theorem quoted above is equivallent to **Assumption 1 (S)** (see [37] page 85). This justify its use in our context.

Finally we conclude by

$$\sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x) - f(x))h(x)dx \rightsquigarrow N(0, \sigma_h^2) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where σ_h^2 is defined above. □

4.2. **Proof of the Theorem 2.**

Proof. In the following development we are going to use systematically the Mean Value Theorem (M.V.T) in a bivariate dimensional and with real functions $\theta_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6)$ depending on $x \in K$ but always satisfying $|\theta_i(x)| \leq 1$.

For ease of notation, we introduce the two following notations used in the sequel

$$\Delta_n f(x) = f_n(x) - f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_n g(x) = g_n(x) - g(x)$$

such that

$$a_n = \|\Delta_n f\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \|\Delta_n g\|_\infty .$$

Let $c_n = \max(a_n, b_n)$. Recall a_n, b_n and c_n are all $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.

We start by the one side asymptotic estimation.

One has

$$\phi(f_n(x), g(x)) = \phi(f(x) + \Delta_n f(x), g(x)).$$

By an application of the M.V.T to the function $u_1(x) \mapsto \phi(u_1(x), g(x))$ one has that there exists $\theta_1(x) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\phi(f_n(x), g(x)) = \phi(f(x), g(x)) + \Delta_n f(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x) + \theta_1(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x)) \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\Delta_n f(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x) + \theta_1(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x)) = \Delta_n f(x)\ \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x)) + \theta_1(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\ \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\ ,$$

by an application of the M.V.T to the function $u_2(x) \mapsto \phi_1^{(1)}(u_2(x), g(x))$ and with $\theta_2(x) \in (0, 1)$.

We can write (4.2) as

$$\phi(f_n(x), g(x)) = \phi(f(x), g(x)) + \Delta_n f(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x)) + \theta_1(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\ \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))$$

Now we has

$$J(f_n, g) - J(f, g) = \int \Delta_n f(x)\ \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x))dx + \int \theta_1(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\ \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\ dx, \tag{4.3}$$

hence

$$|J(f_n, g) - J(f, g)| \leq a_n \int \left|\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x))\right| dx + a_n^2 \int \left|\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\ \right| dx.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{|J(f_n,g)-J(f,g)|}{a_n} \leq A_1 + a_n \int \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x)+\theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\ dx,$$

where $A_1 = \int \left|\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))\right| dx$.

This with 2.1 yield and prove (2.9).

Now let prove 2.10

By swapping the roles of f and g one obtains

(4.4)

$$J(f,g_n)-J(f,g) = \int \Delta_n g(x)\ \phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))dx + \int \theta_3(x)(\Delta_n g(x))^2\ \phi_2^{(2)}\left(f(x), g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x)\right)\ dx$$

then

$$|J(f,g_n)-J(f,g)| \leq b_n \int \left|\phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))\right| dx + b_n^2 \int \left|\phi_2^{(2)}\left(f(x), g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x)\right)\right| dx$$

one obtains

$$\frac{|J(f,g_n)-J(f,g)|}{b_n} \leq A_2 + b_n \int \left|\phi_2^{(2)}\left(f(x), g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x)\right)\right| dx$$

where $A_2 = \int \left|\phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))\right| dx$. This and (2.2) give and prove (2.10).

We focus now on the asymptotic normality for one sample estimation.

Going back to (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(J(f_n,g)-J(f,g)) &= \int \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))\ \alpha_n(x)dx + \int \theta_1(x)\sqrt{n}\left(\Delta_n f(x)\right)^2\ \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x)+\theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\ dx.\\ &= \sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx + \sqrt{n}R_{2,n}\end{aligned}$$

where $h_1(x) = \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))$.

Now by theorem 1, $\sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_1^2)$ as $n\to\infty$ where

$$\sigma_1^2 = \mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X))^2 - (\mathbb{P}_X(K_{j_n}(h_1)(X)))^2 \tag{4.5}$$

and provided that $h_1 \in B_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Thus, (2.11) will be proved if we show that $\sqrt{n}R_{2,n} = 0_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. One has

$$\left|\sqrt{n}R_{2,n}\right| \leq \sqrt{n}a_n^2 \int \phi_1^{(2)}(f(x)+\theta_2(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))dx \tag{4.6}$$

Let show that $\sqrt{n}a_n^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.

By Chebyshev's inequality, one has for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}a_n^2 > \epsilon\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(a_n > \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{n^{1/4}}\right) \leq \frac{n^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\mathbb{E}\left[a_n^2\right].$$

From theorem 3 in Gine [43], one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbb{E}a_n^2\right)^{1/2} &= O\left(\sqrt{\frac{j_n 2^{j_n}}{n}} + 2^{-tj_n}\right) \\ &= O\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\log 2}\frac{\log n}{n^{3/4}}} + n^{-t/4}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $2^{j_n} \approx n^{1/4}$. Thus

$$\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}a_n^2 > \epsilon\right)\right)^2 = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\log 2}\frac{\log n}{n^{1/2}}} + n^{(1-2t)/8}\right)$$

Finally $\sqrt{n}a_n^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ since

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\log 2}\frac{\log n}{n^{1/2}}} + n^{(1-2t)/8} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

for any $1/2 < t < T$.

Finally from (4.6) and using (2.1), one has $\sqrt{n}R_{2,n} \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0$ as $n \to +\infty$.

This yields and ends the proof of (2.11)

Going back to (4.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(J(f,g_n) - J(f,g)) &= \int \phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))\ \beta_n(x)dx + \sqrt{n}\int \theta_4(x)(\Delta_n g(x))^2\ \phi_2^{(2)}(f(x),g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))\ dx. \\ &= \sqrt{n}\int (g_n(x)-g(x))h_2(x)dx + \sqrt{n}R_{3,n}\end{aligned}$$

where $h_2(x) = \phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))$.

Then by Theorem 1, one has $\sqrt{n}\int(g_n(x)-g(x))h_2(x)dx \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_2^2)$ where

$$\sigma_2^2 = \mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)^2 - \left(\mathbb{P}_Y\left(K_{j_n}(h_2)(Y)\right)\right)^2 \tag{4.7}$$

since $\mathbb{P}_Y^2(h_2) < \infty$ and provided that $h_2 \in B_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$).

Similarly,

$$\left|\sqrt{n}R_{3,n}\right| \le \sqrt{n}b_n^2 \int \phi_2^{(2)}(f(x),g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))dx,$$

while $\sqrt{n}b_n^2 = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ as previously. So this and (2.2) give $\sqrt{n}R_{3,n} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.

Finally this shows that (2.12) holds and completes the proof of the Theorem 2. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.**

Proof. We proceed by the same techniques that led to the prove of (2.9).

We begin by breaking $\phi(f_n(x), g_n(x)) - \phi(f(x), g(x))$ into two terms we have already handled :

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(f_n(x), g_n(x)) - \phi(f(x), g(x)) &= \underbrace{\phi(f_n(x), g_n(x)) - \phi(f(x), g_n(x))}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\phi(f(x), g_n(x)) - \phi(f(x), g(x))}_{I_2} \\ &= I_1 + I_2\end{aligned}$$

By an application of the M.V.T to the function $f_n(x) \mapsto \phi(f_n(x), g_n(x))$, one has that there exists $\theta_5(x) \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \phi(f(x) + \Delta f_n(x), g_n(x)) - \phi(f(x), g_n(x)) \\ &= \Delta f_n(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x) + \theta_5(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x)) \\ &= \Delta f_n(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x)) + \theta_5(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\end{aligned}$$

By a second application of the M.V.T to the function

$$f(x) + \theta_5(x)\Delta_n f(x) \mapsto \phi_1^{(1)}(f(x) + \theta_5(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))$$

with $\theta_5(x) \in (0,1)$.

From (4.4), we get

$$I_2 = \Delta_n g(x)\ \phi_2^{(1)}(f(x), g(x)) + \theta_3(x)(\Delta_n g(x))^2\ \phi_2^{(2)}\left(f(x), g(x) + \theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x)\right)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g) &= \int \Delta_n f(x)\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x), g(x))dx + \int \Delta_n g(x)\phi_2^{(1)}(f(x), g(x))dx \\ &\quad + \int \theta_5(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))dx \\ &\quad + \int \theta_3(x)(\Delta_n g(x))^2\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x), g(x) + \theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))dx\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g)| &\leq c_n A_1 + c_n A_2 + c_n^2 \int \left|\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\right| dx \\ &\quad + c_n^2 \int \left|\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x), g(x) + \theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))\right| dx\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g)|}{c_n} &\leq A_1 + A_2 + c_n \int \left|\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x) + \theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x), g(x))\right| dx \\ &\quad + c_n \int \left|\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x), g(x) + \theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))\right| dx\end{aligned}$$

(2.1) and (2.2) give

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{J(f_n, g_n) - J(f, g)}{c_n} \leq A_1 + A_2 \quad \text{a.s}$$

This proves the desired result.

It remains to prove (2.16)

Going back to (4.8) one has

$$\sqrt{n}(J(f_n,g_n)-J(f,g)) = \sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x)-f(x))\phi_1^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))dx+\sqrt{n}\int (g_n(x)-g(x))\phi_2^{(1)}(f(x),g(x))dx+\sqrt{n}R_{4,n}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}R_{4,n} &= \sqrt{n}\int \theta_5(x)(\Delta_n f(x))^2\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x)+\theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x),g(x))dx \\ &\quad +\sqrt{n}\int \theta_3(x)(\Delta_n g(x))^2\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x),g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 1, one has

$$\sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx+\sqrt{n}\int (g_n(x)-g(x))h_2(x)dx \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2)$$

since $\mathbb{P}_X^2(h_1)<\infty$ and $\mathbb{P}_Y^2(h_2)<\infty$. Provided that $h_2,h_2\in\mathcal{B}_{\infty,\infty}^t(\mathbb{R})$.

Now one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sqrt{n}R_{4,n}\right| &\leq \sqrt{n}c_n^2\int\left|\phi_1^{(2)}(f(x)+\theta_6(x)\Delta_n f(x),g(x))\right|dx \\ &\quad + \sqrt{n}c_n^2\int\left|\phi_2^{(2)}(f(x),g(x)+\theta_4(x)\Delta_n g(x))\right|dx.\end{aligned}$$

As previously, one has $\sqrt{n}c_n^2=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ and from **conditions** (2.1) and (2.2) one has $|\sqrt{n}R_{4,n}|=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$.

Finally this shows that (2.16) holds and completes the proof of the Theorem 3. □

4.4. **Proofs of Corollaries 5 and 6.**

Proof. of Corollary 5.

One has

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{T,\alpha}(f,g)) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left(\log I(f_n,g)-\log I(f,g)\right)$$

but $I(f_n,g)-I(f,g)=O_{\text{a.s}}(a_n)=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Then, by using a Taylor expansion of $\log(1+y)$ it follows that almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned}\log I(f_n,g)-\log I(f,g) &= \log\left(1+\frac{I(f_n,g)-I(f,g)}{I(f,g)}\right) \\ &= \frac{I(f_n,g)-I(f,g)}{I(f,g)}+O_{\text{a.s}}(a_n^2)=O_{\text{a.s}}(a_n).\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)=O_{\text{a.s}}(a_n).$$

This proves the desired result.

The proof of (2.18) is similar to the previous proof.

To prove (2.19), recall

$$\sqrt{n}(I(f_n,g)-I(f,g)) = \sqrt{n}\int (f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx+o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

then

$$\frac{I(f_n,g)}{I(f,g)} = 1+\frac{\int (f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx}{I(f,g)}+o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

and by Taylor expansion of $\log(1+y)$ it follows that almost surely,

$$\begin{aligned}\log I(f_n,g)-\log I(f,g) &= \log\left(1+\frac{\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx}{I(f,g)}\right)\\ &= \frac{\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx}{I(f,g)}+O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g)-\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\frac{\sqrt{n}\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx}{I(f,g)}+o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\rightsquigarrow\mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_{R,1}\right)\text{ as }n\to\infty$$

where $\sigma^2_{R,1}=\frac{\sigma^2_{I,1}}{(\alpha-1)^2I(f,g)}$.

(2.20) is proved similarly.

Finally this ends the proof of the Corollary 5. □

Proof of the Corollary 6

Proof. We start by the consistency. From the previous work one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\log I(f_n,g_n)-\log I(f,g) &= \frac{I(f_n,g_n)-I(f,g)}{I(f,g)}+O_{\text{a.s}}(c_n^2)\\ &= O_{\text{a.s}}(c_n)\end{aligned}$$

hence $(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g))=O_{\text{a.s}}(c_n)$. That proves (2.21)

Let find the asymptotic normality. One gets

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\left(I(f_n,g_n)-I(f,g)\right) &= \sqrt{n}\int(f_n(x)-f(x))h_1(x)dx+\sqrt{n}\int(g_n(x)-g(x))h_2(x)dx+o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=N_n\\ &= O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\end{aligned}$$

where $h_1(x)=\alpha f^{\alpha-1}(x)g^{1-\alpha}(x)$ and $h_2(x)=(1-\alpha)f^{\alpha}(x)g^{-\alpha}(x)$.

Hence we obtain

$$\log I(f_n,g_n)-\log I(f,g)=\frac{N_n}{\sqrt{n}I(f,g)}+O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f_n,g_n)-\mathcal{D}_{R,\alpha}(f,g)\right) &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1}\frac{N_n}{I(f,g)}+o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\\ &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2_R\right)\text{ as }n\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^2_R=\sigma^2_{R,1}+\sigma^2_{R,2}$. □

References

[1] Topsoe F. (2000), Some inequalities for information divergence and related measures of discrimination, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 46, pp. 1602- 1609.

[2] Evren, A. Some applications of Kullback-Leibler and Jeffreys'divergences in multinomial populations.

[3] Cichocki, A.; Amari, S (2010). Families of Alpha-Beta-and Gamma-Divergences: Flexible and Robust Measures of Similarities. Entropy , 12, 1532-1568.

[4] P. J. Moreno, P. P. Ho, and N. Vasconcelos (2004), "A Kullback-Leibler divergence based kernel for SVM classification in multimedia applications", HP Laboratories, Cambridge, MA, Tech. Rep. HPL-2004-4, 2004.

[5] Jager L. and Jon A. Wellner "Goodness of fit tests via Phi-divergences". The Annals of Statistics 2007, vol.35, N°5,2018-2053

[6] P. Hall (1987), "On Kullback-Leibler loss and density estimation," Ann. Statist., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1491-1519, Dec. 1987.

[7] P. K. Bhattacharya (1967), Efficient estimation of a shift parameter from grouped data, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, vol. 38, no. 6,pp. 1770-1787, Dec., 1967.

[8] A. Berlinet, L. Devroye, and L. Gyorfi, "Asymptotic normality of L_1 error in density estimation," Statistics, vol. 26, pp. 329-343, 1995.

[9] C. Liu, and H-Y Shum (2003), "Kullback-Leibler boosting," Proc. of the 2003 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, vol. 1, pp. 587-594, June, 2003.

[10] Kullback, S.; Leibler, R. (1951) On information and sufficiency. Ann. Math. Stat. , 22, 79â€“86.

[11] Fukunaga, K.; Hayes, R. (1989) The reduced Parzen classifier. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. , 11, 423â€“425.

[12] Cardoso, J. Infomax and maximum likelihood for blind source separation. IEEE Signal Process. Lett. 1997, 4, 112â€“114.

[13] Cardoso, J. Blind signal separation: statistical principles. Proc. IEEE 1998, 86, 2009-2025.

[14] Ojala, T.; Pietik ainen, M.; Harwood, D. A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on featured distributions. Pattern Recogn. 1996, 29, 51-59.

[15] Hastie, T.; Tibshirani, R. Classification by pairwise coupling. Ann. Stat. 1998, 26, 451-471.

[16] Buccigrossi, R.; Simoncelli, E. Image compression via joint statistical characterization in the wavelet domain. IEEE Trans. Image Process. 1999, 8, 1688â€“1701.

[17] Moreno, P.; Ho, P.; Vasconcelos, N. A Kullback-Leibler divergence based kernel for SVM classification in multimedia applications. Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst. 2004, 16, 1385-1392.

[18] MacKay, D. Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003.

[19] Cover, T. and Thomas, J.(1991). Elements of Information Theory. : Wiley, 1991.

[20] G. A. Darbellay and I. Vajda (1999), "Estimation of the information by an adaptive partitioning of the observation space," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1315-1321, May 1999.

[21] Common, P.(1994). Independent component analysis, a new concept?, Signal Process., vol. 36, pp. 387-314, 1994.

[22] Tsitsiklis, J.N.(1993). Decentralized detection. In Advances in Statistical Signal Processing. New York: JAI, 1993, pp. 297-344.

[23] Akshay Krishnamurthy, Kirthevasan Kandasamy Barnaba Poczos, Larry Wasserman. Nonparametric Estimation of Rényi Divergence and Friends.Journal of Selcuk. University Natural and Applied Science.

[24] Liu, H., Lafferty,J., and Wasserman, L. Exponential concentration inequality for

[25] Shashank Singh and Barnabas Poczos Generalized Exponential Concentration Inequality for Rényi Divergence Estimation Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213 USA.

[26] Poczos B.,Jeff, S. On the estimation of $\alpha-$Divergences

[27] Liu, H., Lafferty, J., and Wasserman, L. Exponential concentration inequality for mutual information estimation. In Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2012.

[28] Hamza, D., Ngom, P., Deme, E. and Mendy, P.(2007). Kernel-type estimators of divergence measures and its strong uniform consistency.

[29] XuanLong N.,Martin J.W., and Michael I.J.(2010). Estimating Divergence Functionals and the Likelihood Ratio by Convex Risk Minimization. IEEE Transastions on information theory, vol.56, $no.11, pp.5847-561, 2010$;

[30] X. Nguyen, M. J. Wainwright, and M. I. Jordan, "Estimating divergence functionals and the likelihood ratio by convex risk minimization, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5847-5861, 2010.

[31] Einmahl, U. and Mason, D. M. (2000). An empirical process approach to the uniform consistency of kernel-type function estimators. J. Theoret. Probab., 13 (1), 1-37.

[32] Einmahl, U. and Mason, D. M. (2005). Uniform in bandwidth consistency of kernel-type function estimators. Ann. Statist., 33(3), 1380-1403.

[33] Giné, E. and Mason, D. M. (2008) Uniform in bandwidth estimation of integral functionals of the density function. Scand. J. Statist., 35(4), 739-761

[34] H. Cai, S. R. Kulkarni, and S. Verdu (2002), "Universal estimation of entropye and divergence via block sorting," in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory, Lausanne, Switzerland, Jun-Jul. 2002, p. 433.

[35] H. Cai, S. R. Kulkarni, and S. Verdu, "Universal divergence estimation for finite-alphabet sources," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, submitted for publication

[36] J. Ziv and N. Merhav(1993), "A measure of relative entropy between individual sequences with application to universal classification," IEEE Trans. Inf.Theory, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 1270-1279, Jul. 1993.

[37] Wolfgang HardIe, Gerard Kerkyacharian,Dominique Picard, and Alexander Tsybakov. *Wavelets, Approximation, and Statistical Applications*

[38] A. Hero, B. Ma, and O. Michel (1999), "Estimation of RÃ©nyi information divergence via pruned minimal spanning trees," in IEEE Workshop on Higher Order Statistics, Caesaria, Israel, Jun. 1999.

[39] K. R. Moon and A. O. Hero III, "Ensemble estimation of multivariate f-divergence, in IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, pp. 356-360, 2014.

[40] A. Berlinet, L. Gyorfi, and I. D nes, "Asymptotic normality of relative entropy in multivariate density estimation," Publications de l'Institut de Statistique de l'Université © de Paris, vol. 41, pp. 3-27, 1997.

[41] P. J. Bickel and M. Rosenblatt, "On some global measures of the deviations of density function estimates," The Annals of Statistics, pp. 1071-1095, 1973.

[42] Kevin R.M.,AlfredO.H.III. "Multivariate $f-$Divergence Estimation with Confidence"

[43] Giné E., Nickl R. (2009) "Uniform Limit theorem for wavelet density estimators". The Annals of Probability 2009, Vol. 37, No. 4, 1605-1646 DOI: 10.1214 08-AOP447